

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/825,103	04/16/2004	Hitoshi Saito	SON-3000 7692		
	7590 12/19/200 1AN & GRAUER PLL	EXAMINER			
LION BUILDING			RENNER, CRAIG A		
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2627		
·					
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D/	AYS	12/19/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		A		•	-
Office Action Summany		Application No. Applicant(s)			
		10/825,103	10/825,103		SAITO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Craig A. Renn		2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to received by the Office later than three months after the mail ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS (1.136(a). In no event, h and will apply and will exp ute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tim ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status					
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-to- vance except for	formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the application and the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the application and the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the application and th	rawn from consid election requiren	nent.	Examiner.	
11)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ection is required if	the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been re nts have been re iority documents au (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receivee '.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
2)	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) [Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/825,103 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species:

Species I - FIGS. 1-8.

Species II - FIG. 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least independent claim 1 appears to be

generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Ronald P. Kananen on 14 December 2006 to

request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an

election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,103 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2627

Ź

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday & Thursday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Craig A. Renner **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2627

CAR